How to Build Your Community and Grow Your Influence – Jon Levy with Dave Asprey – #822

Announcer:

Bulletproof Radio, a state of high performance.

Dave Asprey:

You're listening to Bulletproof Radio with Dave Asprey. Today is going to be a lot of fun because I'm getting to interview a behavioral scientist, a guy who has studied human connection, trust and influence. Again, you might have heard if you're a longtime listener because he was on episode number 395. That's about 50% of the show ago, where we talked about using behavioral science to become successful. His name is Jon Levy. He's the founder of Influencers, which is a private community of about 2,000 industry leaders, including some Nobel laureates, Olympians, celebrities, executives like me, royalty, not like me, and more.

Dave:

Today, I wanted to interview him about influence and how you create influence. It's particularly useful if you're new to Clubhouse or you're working your way through Clubhouse or for your job and for your profession or even your family, because influence has changed in a world where we get less FaceTime and there are no pheromones and who's taller than anyone else. I don't know, angle your camera. A lot of our signals have changed. We're going to talk about his new book, which is about influence and about his influencer dinners and just have a lot of fun. Jon, welcome to the show, my friend.

Jon Levy:

I am so excited to be here. I had an absolute blast last time I was on. So for all of you listeners, I recommend going back and checking that one out. We always have so much fun together just in general, so thanks for having me on.

Dave:

I used to think you were a crazy person. I got this weird email from Jarvis a while back, who is your assistant, and saying, "Dave, you should come and have dinner with people you don't know." I get a lot of dinner invitations from people, more than I could ever possibly eat. But he said, "Well, here's the link in the New York Times about what I do." I said, "Okay, maybe, Jarvis is real." I ended up at a condo somewhere in LA and there were a bunch of people in the room and we weren't allowed to say what we did for our career, so we could talk about anything else. And then we made dinner together. Then at the end of the dinner, as we were eating it, we went around and guessed what everyone did, which was totally funny. I'm like, oh, there are some famous people and people who've done big things in the room, but you wouldn't know because if we don't talk about what we do, it's a very different vibe. You call the influencer dinners a secret dining experience. What made you decide to do that, because it's weird?

Jon:

Yeah, I decided to do it because it's weird. So let's just do a quick comparison. People listen to you because what you say out and it's backed by research, but it's novel or different. Years ago, I was 28 at the time, and I came across this completely insane study that changed my life. It was by these two guys, Christakis and Fowler, and they were curious about the obesity epidemic. And what they found was that

if you have a friend who's obese, your probability of obesity increases by 45%. Your friends who don't know them have a 20% increased chance and their friends have a 5% increased chance.

Jon:

Now, this is crazy. This means that not only are we the, let's say average of our five closest friends, but their friends and their friends. Which meant that if I wanted to accomplish things like being healthy, happy, successful, the most important thing I could do is maybe figure out how to connect with extraordinary people and also connect them with each other. So I needed to figure out how do I hack connection? How do I hack trust and how do we use that to create a positive impact? That's what started the whole search for the research. One of the most important things I learned is that if you want to connect with very influential people, it really helps to stand out and do something novel or different.

Dave:

Do you consider yourself a very influential person?

Jon:

No, I don't. Not at all. I consider myself somebody who's really fortunate because the magic of anything I can do is a by-product of the relationships I create between other people. So for example, we might meet athlete preparing for a big Olympic challenge or something like that, by me being able to introduce them to you or to a breathing expert or something like that, their performance increases, but I'm not the one who really define that. I might've been able to nudge it along the way to make the introduction. And that's my superpower.

Dave:

You're influencing the influencers. It lets you hang out with them, which is a lot of fun. And frankly, it's one of the reasons I started the Bulletproof Radio, is just I wanted to talk to people who were doing amazing thinking or had knowledge that I wanted to be able to learn and assimilate and put into the [inaudible 00:05:24]. I'm like, this is great. I probably couldn't have picked up the phone and called then, but now I can. I imagine you have a similar experience doing that. And you also now have a community of people who support you. And the old, I was at a Tony Robbins ism or at Jim Rohn, you're the sum of the five people you spend the most time with. And one of the things that also attracted me to having you back on the show is the greatest predictor of human longevity isn't actually whether or not you drink Bulletproof coffee. I know people listening are going to be really surprised. It's not whether you live in a blue zone and it's not food.

Dave:

It's close social ties and social integration and being part of a community. And that knowledge, it led me to actually build the mentorship community called the Upgrade Collective. We have a bunch of members listening right now, where it's not just me teaching stuff, but it's people learning in a community and in a group because I think you learn better that way in addition to living longer. There's a lot of loneliness because of the pandemic and all that. What have you changed in your life because of the pandemic so that you still have community, even if you're not able to go see people?

Jon:

Oh, wow. There's, I think, two or three things. I do want to point something out because we're talking about how lonely people are because of the pandemic or the impact of social media on loneliness. This

started way before any of that. In 1985, the average American had about three friends besides family. By 2004, just 19 years later, it was just about two friends. And that trend probably continued. My hunch is that the reason is that it became more acceptable for people to move, to go for a new job or after college. So they lose their social ties. This is a devastating trend when, like you point out, the impact of loneliness is on par with smoking a pack a day of cigarettes or something like that. That's terrible.

Dave:
So loneliness is like kale.
Jon:
It's like kale. Is kale that dangerous?
Dave:
No, it was just gross.
Jon:
Oh, got it.
Dave:
Because I was interested in cigarettes. I just like to pick on kale because it's not that good.
Jon:
So what we began to realize was in the pandemic, there were two issues. One is the people who are normally social, they were feeling the need for social contact, right?
Dave:
Mm-hmm (affirmative).
Jon:
But what's actually more dangerous is the people who are already isolated, when you feel lonely and you're isolated, it breeds a belief that you're deserving of being isolated and lonely. So if you have the friends and one of them moves and you're down to two, then the world seems suddenly much smaller.

you're isolated, it breeds a belief that you're deserving of being isolated and lonely. So if you have three friends and one of them moves and you're down to two, then the world seems suddenly much smaller. And then you think, okay, I guess I'm limited to these two friends now. That's what I'm really concerned about. So during the pandemic, I started examining the research on human behavior to try to understand since we can't physically be around each other, which is normally how we deal with great social stress. So you look back to the Blitzkrieg during World War II on London, the entire city is being attacked. Everybody's freaking out and people are trying to get into shelters. Everybody's worried that there's going to be fighting and looting and attacks in the shelters, and there weren't any. That's

Dave:

In fact, we're wired to do that. I think it's in ourselves, the basic algorithms to do it. We have those four F words I keep talking about in the show. And the fourth F word that we all do in all life does is friend. You've got to do that. And if you're not doing that, you're malnourished, right?

Disclaimer: Bulletproof Radio transcripts are prepared by a transcription service. Refer to full audio for exact wording.

because in times of great stress, human beings are phenomenal at coming together.

I couldn't agree more. There's even this really solid argument that the Maslow's high order of needs that maybe we should put as the most important social integration. Because if you look at the greatest punishment that we give a person when they're a problem in our society, it isn't like starving them. What we do is we either put them in solitary confinement or we push them out of our culture. So for human beings, it seems that there's no greater need than the need to fit in. We're in fact, willing to deal with just about anything in order to feel like we're part of a culture or society.

Dave:

Does that mean that cancel culture is actually creating loneliness because people are afraid of being ostracized now?

Jon:

I think so. And the reason I think that that's actually a really good observation is that when Google was looking at what the greatest predictor of a team's success was, there's a lot of things that people think. Like you take a diverse group of people who are geniuses and you throw them in a room together, let them solve a problem. That's it. Or tenure or something like that. But it was actually psychological safety. The feeling that you're part of the group even if you have a descending view. So the two of us can't explore an idea if I agree with everything that you say.

Dave:

It's boring.

Jon:

We'll also never find the problems to improve it. Ideas are anti-fragile. The more pressure you put on them, the more you discover the strength of them and where you need to shore things up. So I think that you're spot on with this cancel culture thing. If you're scared of saying anything that's unpopular, then we're never going to get to a better idea. Also let's be honest, when has shaming anybody for anything been the solution?

Dave:

Shaming doesn't work very well to change behavior now, does it?

Jon:

No. If shaming worked well, I would have been skinny my entire life. I'm dyslexic, I would have learned to read in a weekend. If people making fun of me was the answer, I would be a perfect human being right now.

Dave:

And if shaming worked well, we'd all be Catholic. Right?

Jon:

Well, I'm Jewish. So believe me, there's no lack of guilt.

Dave:

I come from a Catholic family. So I can say that fairly, I think. Although if I offended you, I'm very sorry. [crosstalk 00:11:58].

Jon:

Oh, no. I'm not offended. You are canceled officially.

Dave:

This goes down to something a little bit deeper and something that you're exploring in your new book. You're talking about hacking human connection and trust because on one aspect, there's connection. But right now, it seems like every company out there is trying to take advantage of you. There's a whole bunch of people out there who are trying to take advantage of you. You never know because you can't see them. You get an email. I only need to send \$10 million to Nigeria. I know I'm going to get it back. So there's untrustworthy people.

Jon:

Oh, you know that guy too?

Dave:

Exactly.

Jon:

I'm still waiting for an email back with the details for the return of the funds, but I'm sure it's coming.

Dave:

It's going to come. Yeah. Just don't even worry about that. By the way, I have another email for you. It's an even better deal. Just don't even worry.

Jon:

Is it those pills? Oh my God.

Dave:

Nice. The issue that we have though is if you want to create connection with someone or with a group, first, they have to trust you and you have to trust them. So how do you go about building trust?

Jon:

This is super interesting. I'll be honest, this wasn't stuff that I knew before I started researching the book, but we all say, as you pointed out, trust is really important. It's the basis of relationships, all that. And if you ask people, great, what is it made out of? Why do we even have it? Because the fact is that, and you pointed to this a little, that trust is the willingness to be vulnerable. And if I'm willing to trust you, you could steal my money, you could hurt me and so on. So trust doesn't actually make any sense to have, except for one problem. From an anthropological perspective, I came across some research that suggests that a single mother with a child can't collect enough calories in a day to support her and her offspring and protected. Meaning that without trust, without knowing, okay, this person can care for the child while I collect food, or this person can go get me food so that the child can survive, we would have gone extinct.

So trust is necessity from an evolutionary perspective. The problem is that doesn't answer the question of what is it made out of? And for the most part, researchers agree that it's made out of three things, some argue four, but for the purposes of anything, we actually care about three is fine. And that's competence, your ability to do something, honesty or integrity if you're truthful. And then the third is benevolence, that you have my best interests at heart. And here's what's really interesting. Three pillars, all really important, but they're not equally important. So if I came to you one day and I shared something and the next day I was like, oh, I found out that study was wrong. You wouldn't say, oh, Jon is incompetent. He got something wrong. I can't trust him anymore.

Dave:

Well, if you're a YouTube commenter you would.

Jon:

For sure, because of cancel culture. So you can see that you can have a screw up in competence and you're still in the clear. As long as you have a decent tracker. But if you found out somebody lied to you, you would then doubt everything they have said and everything that they say moving forward. So you can see that a breach in honesty is a much bigger deal than a breach in competence. And then the third thing is there's actually this weird loophole in it. So if the two of us are walking down the street and I say, "Hey, Dave, I just forgot something at a friend's house. Do you mind if we quickly pick it up?" And you're like, "Yeah, sure, no problem." And when we walk in, 40 of your closest friends jump out and scream, surprise!

Jon:

It would be very, very strange. If you turned to me and said, "Jon, you've just lied to me. We can't be friends anymore." That's because you know I lied to you for benevolent reasons, which is I had your best interests at heart. So we're more willing to forgive that, especially if it's for a temporary joke or something like that.

Dave: Right.

Jon:

Here's what's interesting about it. Everybody tends to lead with competence. Like he's capable or she's capable of whatever it is. But really, it seems that human beings care about benevolence more than honesty. So what we should be leading with is that we have other people's best interests at heart. You'll also notice there's one other weird thing. Do you remember when Wells Fargo had that whole thing about opening up bank accounts?

Dave:

Yeah.

Jon:

Right. That is fundamentally a breach and benevolence. They don't actually care about their customers.

Dave:
Oh, you mean like all the social media customer or companies? Yeah.
Yeah, exactly. But whenever there's an issue, they don't admit, oh yeah. We had some managers that just don't care. What they say is that it's an issue of competence. They say, "Sorry, we put an overview committee to make sure that accidents like this don't happen again. But we want you to know that we really care about our customers." So they very cleverly try to hide where the breach in trust is. And it's like the more people are aware of it, the more we can see, okay, can we actually trust these companies or not? We can really take a look at these things. That's what trust is made of. But that didn't really answer the question for me of how do I build trust quickly with somebody? Especially if I meet somebody very influential, I only have a few minutes with them. So the question became, what is the mechanisms that build trust and how do we accelerate the process so that if I only have 20 minutes or an hour with you, by the end of that it feels like we're old camp friends? That's what the dinner is actually built on.
Dave:
The dinner really did create a sense of trust because there's some vulnerable sharing and I'm embarrassingly forgetting, who's the guy from Top Gear that you sat me next to? Do you remember?
Jon:
Yes. Adam
Dave:
Adam Savage?
Jon:
Yeah. Adam Savage was there that night. Jennifer Morrison was there that night from House and Once Upon a Time. There was also somebody from One Tree Hill or something like that. He was also on The Walking Dead for a while. I remember your dinner, it was a crazy dinner. We had a famous magician. We had a famous furniture designer who also had his own show with Common. Yeah, it was a crazy LA, it's a lot of entertainment people.
Dave:
I didn't know who any of these people were. I'm such a nerd. I don't know. I'm like, "I'm pretty sure you're an actress because you're short and you look like an actress and actresses are all short." That was my one guess. That was correct. Everyone else I got wrong, which was funny.
Jon:
I think it was also really amusing how surprised people were that you were the, at the time, this is years ago, you were the coffee guy, right?
Dave:
Yeah.

Oh, the coffee guy. Yeah, butter. I love butter.

Dave:

It was a really weird trust building experience, but you really felt you got to know someone different than you would at a normal dinner where you're a little bit more guarded just because you couldn't do the normal stuff you do.

Jon:

Here's what's really interesting about it and here's the design and what people can actually use and take home with them. So there's this really weird characteristic of human beings. If I were to take you out for an expensive meal, you may or may not actually care about that. Nobody really wants another business dinner. They often feel like obligations. If I just give you a bunch of swag at an event or throw a party, purely people barely remember who threw the party and they mostly just throw out or give away the Swagbucks, which means none of that stuff of trying to buy people off really works. There is an exception, which is I know that you're really into bio hacking. And if I find this one really rare thing that you really care about and get it for you, you'd say, "Oh wow, Jon really cares about me."

Dave:

Yeah. A thoughtful gift does something different than a T-shirt.

Jon:

Exactly. So the question is what actually does work? And it turns out that it's the exact opposite. It's called the IKEA effect. It states that we disproportionately care about our IKEA furniture because we had to assemble it.

Dave:

So you make them work for it.

Jon:

Yeah. That's exactly it. Anything we put effort into is what we care about disproportionately. I'm not trying to win anybody over by being [gifty 00:21:09] and spending a fortune or anything like that. What I'm actually looking to do is find ways for us to invest effort into each other together. And there's this really interesting thing. It's obvious when you really think about it. But the reason the IKEA effect works is that anytime you have a problem that's too big for one person to solve by themselves, then joint effort is required. And then we produce something known as a vulnerability loop that works like this. Let's say I came to work at your company and I'm sitting at the desk next to yours and it's my first day there. And you hear me say, "I am so overwhelmed. I don't know how I'm going to do all of this."

Jon:

I've just signaled vulnerability at a certain level. Now, if you ignore that or make fun of it, trust will be reduced. But if you acknowledge it and throw out your own signal, "Jon, my first week running this company, I was totally overwhelmed too. I know how you feel. Let's see if we can figure this out." You've just signaled your own vulnerability. You used to be overwhelmed. Now we've both shown that we're safe with each other at this level, and now we can trust each other more. Now, when we're working on

a project together like cooking a meal, then these loops are created very quickly, especially if we're time locked. And suddenly we're starting and closing loops like, "Dave, quick pass me the..." And then you pass me a knife so I can cut something or pass me a spatula so I can make sure something gets on the stove.

Jon:

All these loops are opened and closed. Suddenly we feel like we can really trust each other. Now notice, trust did not proceed vulnerability. Vulnerability proceeds trust. So if we want to accelerate the process for building trust quickly, we need to do a couple of things. We need to, one, notice people's vulnerability loops. That could be something they say or they do physically. And we have to be willing to put out our own signals. Now, the fastest way to create that is probably by finding some tasks that both of you can do together. And the reason is that human beings are terrible in it. Like an interview setting where they're sitting across from each other, it's like a miserable way to meet somebody. But if you go on a hike together or you play a game together, then suddenly the loops get created quickly and it's a natural experience to actually bond.

Dave:

All right? So now you walk into a room, "Hey, everybody. I'm vulnerable." Now, we get back to that trust issue. And then the three sociopaths in the room are like, great, fresh meat. So how do people know when and how to express vulnerabilities so they don't get screwed? Because that's the reason people want to express vulnerability in the first place.

Jon:

The simple answer is that, and this is funny, in the book I explore this too. Have you ever seen all those criminal mind type shows?

Dave:

Oh, totally.

Jon:

Yeah. They're great drama. Criminal Minds lasted like 15 years, hundreds of episodes. I interviewed the guy who created the show. It turns out that the behavioral sciences team, and Quantico is probably never caught anybody based on behavioral science. You cannot predict a single person's behavior. It's just not possible. Maybe if you really dedicate your entire life, you can get pretty accurate, but you could do it on scale. Here's the problem. There are certain tales that you can probably figure out, but hoping that you're going to get a zero rate of meeting jerks is near impossible. That's also because human beings change over the course of a lifetime. So we might be best friends this year and next year I suffer a tragedy and I start drinking or something like that and I become a jerk. So it's expecting or trying to get that number to zero is tough. What we can do is a few things.

Jon:

Mostly people trust others due to what's called the halo effect. So I trust your friends because I trust you and that's fine. At least you've got some signals like, Dave thinks he's cool. So I'll probably think he's cool. The bigger issue, I think, is that the length of time it takes for us to trust somebody or not, at the basic level, is the length of time it takes for a hummingbird to flap its wings once. It's like a single frame of a movie.

П	1	١,	_	•

Yeah. We know it in our bones, but we don't listen to it.

Jon:

What's interesting about that is that most of those signals aren't based on anything real. So it's like you said, are they taller, where are their cheekbones are, is their nose pronounced? These signals, and some of them are probably genetic and probably some of them are social. In American culture, people have criminalized dark skin. There's a whole culture of white supremacy that has made people scared. Shows like Cops have led to it. So I think it's really tough to judge these things. I think even what is it, judges are so bad at guessing who's telling the truth and who's lying that they have like a 53% accuracy. That's awful. They do it professionally.

Dave:

It sounds like a pretty good job. Public coin, yeah. I'm average.

Jon:

You have the job for life. So it's really good. I think that the bigger issue is... Or I'll take a step back. Let's say you come into a room and you want to meet people. My question is, do you actually want to go into a room to network? Because the fact is that networking is a really terrible way to meet people. It makes us feel dirty. Research out of Harvard Business School found that the implicit association to networking is wanting to wash our hands. It's just awful. Nobody has ever said, oh my God, networking is so fun.

Dave:

I don't think that's a true statement. People new to their career who are clueless and eager are happy to go to networking events. And they're all excited about it because there's lots of people they can take advantage of. It's like the people on Clubhouse are like, "I've got to ask a question, let me tell you five minutes about myself before I ask my question." Which is a problem for people new to Clubhouse. So you do find people who have way more to gain than they have to give are the ones who are most eager to go to a networking event. At least that's been my experience [inaudible 00:28:22].

Jon:

So let's separate two things. One is, there was a study done. I think it was on Columbia University MBA students. These are people who have the highest desire to "network." They even say networking is a major priority. When they actually go to networking events, I think they spend more than half of their time talking to people they already know, and then a very small portion talking to some new people. But the reason networking doesn't feel right is that that's not really how most of us are going to feel comfortable with people. From a social perspective, almost everyone we meet is either through a shared interest in activity or through the fact that we grew up with them slash through friends. So if that's the case, maybe we should be looking at how do we make friends instead of networking? And for that to happen, we probably need some activity or experience. Because 40,000 years ago, human beings wouldn't have been like, oh, I'm going to walk five villages away so I can network. It's not a realistic expectation on our species.

Dave:

It's funny. You created some online exercises that you use in your community and I stole one of them with your permission for the Upgrade Collective. So for all the Upgrade Collective listeners, there's a reason that we go through that quiz that did work as a team to guess what microscope photos are because of exactly what Jon's talking about now. And you can see it, it gives you a chance to get to know people, even though you're remote, which I thought was a really cool hack. Because I've been to events where I'm sitting with a bunch of people who funded the X Prize and were building moon bases out of Legos at a cocktail party. So we'd have a team event to do, that was a period of Diamandis thing. But it really did work. It doesn't even seem to matter how trivial it is just as long as it's a shared activity.

Jon:

Yeah. I would actually argue that... So there is some research that just shows that we bond over shared activities more so than just the interview style. You two should sit down and talk. That's really awkward. I think the reason is two-fold. One is you get that IKEA effect. And two, if you want to be quiet while you're doing an activity, that's fine. It's not weird. If you want to be quiet for a moment while you're in conversation with somebody, that's awkward. And we feel really uncomfortable with silence. So I think you pointed to something that's really interesting, which is that not only does it not matter how trivial it is, sometimes the fact that the activity is trivial is what's great about it.

Jon:

When COVID hit and everybody started sheltering at home, we started looking at, why do people actually go to events? Because all the digital events that people were doing were miserable. It was less entertaining than Netflix. It was worse quality than YouTube. Why would I sit there watching some fundraiser concert over Zoom or some big large-scale tech event when I could just read an article about it the next day? So we found that there's a handful of things that people actually want when they go to an event. Even if it's not ultra exclusive. When you go to a concert, you're not just there for the music. You're there also because there are other people. You want to connect. You want to feel like you're part of something bigger, just being in people's environment. So connection is critical.

Jon:

The other is influence. And I know this might sound a little weird, but if I'm at a concert, I can boo, I can scream, I can shout, I can dance. I have an impact on the people around me. If I'm on WebEx and I die, no one will notice. I'm logged in there, I could be washing my dishes, I could be answering emails, anything that just gives me a feeling or sensation of having an impact on the world. So when we started designing experiences, we said, "Okay, we need to put people at the heart of the experience because it's not about me, the host. It's about the participant." So we started with breakout rooms, where we have eight people or seven people play a game together and they compete. And the reason that we do that is that it creates that time locked problem that forces them to create those vulnerability loops or that IKEA effect. So they end up connecting at a much deeper level after 15 minutes than if they would have been at some cocktail party, frankly.

Dave:
Wow. And it works remotely.
Jon:

Yeah. And it works remotely. It also gives them that sense of influence. Like they matter. Now, here's the funny part. You said it's trivial. What we started doing is we'd have former dinner guests give gifts to the winning team, but they'd be ridiculous. We had the president of Hanes Underwear
Dave:

I was on for that call.

Jon:

Were you?

Yeah.
Jon:

The winning team? Did you win underwear? The winning team got-

Dave:

Dave:

I did not win any of your supply of underwear.

Jon:

No, I'm sorry. We'll see what we can do about that. Knowing you, you probably have like special underwear that aerates and does all this stuff.

Dave:

And made out of leather. They're awesome.

Jon:

Now I know what I'm shopping for my book launch gift to myself, leather underwear.

Dave:

I'm sure they make them. But I can tell you, that's not something that I normally wear. Except at Burning Man. And then that's different. But you do some zany stuff like that, but like I said, it didn't matter what the price was because it was all in the spirit of fun. But I noticed that it really stood out. It's funny that you've studied the sign to that because it was a really successful Zoom meeting with a sizable number of people, much more successful than the average and meeting with a large number of people. I'm actually using some of your techniques from your book. By the way, if you just dialed in on Clubhouse, this is Jon Levy, who's the author of You're Invited: The Art and Science of Cultivating Influence, which is a book about how do you actually do that?

Dave:

So I'm breaking people out during the virtual conferences coming up, and we should have many thousands of people in that. But I'm doing my best at how do we create this opportunity so that people get to know each other a little bit? We break down those barriers because it actually makes for a good event. So thank you for teaching me how to do something better. That's why I like the show because I

get to learn, but I want to understand, why is your book called You're Invited instead of you're influential? What's the invitation aspect of that?

Jon:

My argument is that our influence is a by-product of who we're connected to, how much they trust us, and the sense of community that we share. So if it starts with connecting with people, it starts with an invitation. Now, that invitation could be to come and cook me dinner at one of my influencers dinners. It could be an invitation to go on a hike or have coffee. But fundamentally, unless I'm inviting somebody to participate, it could be an invitation to check out my content, but it begins with this vulnerability loop. I'm putting myself out there. Are you interested? And here's what's crazy. An invitation is a very strange social agreement because when I extend an invitation, I'm in a position of request. But when you agree to it, it shifts the dynamic to state, I want to participate. It is an active request on your part. So it shifts the dynamic completely.

Jon:

Now suddenly you are a demand for whatever it is that I'm offering. That's really interesting because now there's an opportunity to do something special. So, whether it's getting married or starting a social cause or building a company, it all actually begins with an invitation. And here's what's crazy. I'm not sure if we covered this already, but we had talked about how the greatest predictor of longevity is social integration and close social ties. It turns out that on the company side of things, on the corporate world, you can measure company's stock value, employee sick days and profitability and track it to the level of oxytocin in people's bloodstreams. Meaning, how much people feel they belong. So if your employees are really committed to one another in the company, it's no surprise that they'll work harder, be more dedicated to the ideas and want to take less sick days because they're not interviewing somewhere else for a job.

Dave:

It totally works. It's why for Upgrade Labs, where I'm focusing this year on growing that out or franchising, I've been putting oxytocin and the butter in the coffee that we all drink every morning. And man, the results are off the hook. Okay, not really. But we've had a Dr. Love come on awhile ago, Paul Zack, and talk about oxytocin. We actually played one of his videos that raised oxytocin at the live bio hacking conference. I think 90% of people, was he a spike after watching a one minute video? So I'm like, yeah, let's do that at the beginning. It was an epic conference because we were hacking our neurochemistry as a group at the beginning. Do you know which of the things that you talk about in your book? The IKEA effect or anything else, which of those are raising oxytocin?

Jon:

So, what's interesting is, and I actually talked to Dr. Love himself about this for the book, it seems that every time a vulnerability loop is created and closed, oxytocin is likely released at a higher level. It's that any pro-social behavior really accomplishes that. So when we work together to make a meal at the dinner or a hike, or here's a really crazy one, I think the study was that people do wall sits together. They didn't talk to each other. They didn't know each other, but just being in pain from the wall sits together, caused them to feel closer and like each other more

Dave:

Shared suffering works.

Yeah. Which is crazy. From a logical perspective, that should never work. Just because I experience the same pain as you in a nearby area, like in your vicinity, should not mean that I'd like you anymore. In fact, I should probably associate the pain with you and dislike you more, which is the misattribution of arousal. Something that actually reminds me, that I think you'd find super interesting, is that there was research by Matt Lieberman. I'm not sure if you've ever discussed him on your podcast or had him on.

Dave:

I don't think he's been on.

Jon:

Lieberman's brilliant. One of the things he studied was social pain, like isolation or being made fun of, things like that. He created the dumbest game I've ever heard of. It's called Cyberball. It's not really a game. It's a game for an experiment. And the way it works is you're put in an FMRI, your brain is scanned, and you're told that there are two other people playing with you doing the same thing in a different room. The game is just a digital game where you see a character throwing a ball to you and you can press a button and pick whether you throw it to player A or to B, and you keep passing the ball around. And at a certain point, the other two players stop passing you the ball and they just keep passing it to each other. People experience isolation as a by-product. When they looked at scans of the people participating in this, they found that almost the identical areas of the brain activate from social pain as physical pain. Literally, if you look at scans, you're not going to be able to tell the difference.

Jon:

So they said, "We're going to want to up this experiment. We're going to take two groups. Group one, we'll take a Tylenol for two weeks. Group two, we'll take a placebo and think they're getting Tylenol. And then we're going to put them through the experiment again. They did the scans. And what they found was that Tylenol actually blocked the social pain and those who had a placebo didn't. So it demonstrates something really interesting, which is we're used to thinking of emotional pain or social pain and physical pain is distinct. You don't go to jail for hurting somebody's feelings, but you do for breaking their arm. Well, if they're both processed by the brain in almost the identical way, maybe we need to reevaluate the impact of these things.

Dave:

There's also something, we'll call it toughness training. It's tough because sometimes when the coach says, walk it off, actually that's a broken leg and you shouldn't walk that off. But there's other times where you need to just realize your body's screaming at you more than is necessary and there's a toughening up. I did a practice like this with my daughter, she's 13, and some guy I don't know with a very large following. We're out of the blue names me con man of the year. I'm like, "It's only March, dude." That's cool. A bunch out of context quotes and all because I said that food quality is more important than calories. It was just a classical online bullying scenario that didn't bother me one bit, but I'm like, "Hey, let's look at this online." So we sat down and we read the comments.

Dave:

You look at that and it's classical bullying stuff, right out of the stuff that you would experience in middle school or high school. And then like, okay, how can we make this into a toughness training exercise? So we thought about it and we talked about it and then we held a sale and we used that guy's name as the

coupon code for the sale. I'm like, oh God, ninja moves of not giving a shit about emotional or about an attempt of someone you don't even know to cause you emotional pain. And if it's someone you know, it's a different thing, but it feels like there are so many people you don't know who come in and say mean stuff on social media and all that and it causes a lot of pain. Do you or did you come across research on how to build toughness in adults or kids? It's like, oh, that person disagreed with me. Or that that person said I'm fat or whatever they said that actually hurt your feelings. How do you toughen up so you just don't give a, you know what?

Jon:

This is super interesting. We talked a little bit about earlier, how one of the big reasons that people have less friends is that they're moving more. I think one of the other big reasons is helicopter parenting. So, human beings in general and living systems in general function on an anti-fragile system. So if you have something fragile like a glass and you break it, you drop it, it'll shatter and break.

Dave:

Right.

Jon:

Anti-fragile systems are ones that when you apply pressure to them, actually get stronger. So you work your muscles out, you build more muscle. The key, just like in muscle building, isn't to throw five tons on a human being because they'll die.

Dave:

Smash them.

Jon:

You want to do stuff that's just at that comfort zone's edge. So if you were to take your daughter, for example, and put her on stage in front of a thousand people, that would be like a five ton weight being dropped on her if all of them are making fun of her simultaneously, that's just too much. But also, if you don't expose people to getting beat up a little, then they're going to be so fragile that they're going to be in trouble throughout the course of their life. Who was it? It was Jon Haidt talked about a study and found that there's actually a key time period in your life to experience tragedy. And it's in your later teenage years.

Jon:

If it's before that, then it's so impactful that you don't know how to process it. If it's too much after that, like you're 27 years old, then you haven't been toughened up enough beforehand. I'm in full agreement. I think that there's a line between walk it off and you have a broken leg. And that's the difference between discomfort. Like when you hold a plank for a long time and actual pain. It's the difference between perceived risk and peril. A perceived risk is public speaking. Nothing's going to happen, but you'll get really scared.

Dave:

It's the number one fear of people in the US, is public speaking.

It's incredible. Because you're totally safe, but it goes back to that desire for belonging. Because if we screw that up, we might not belong anymore.

Dave:

It's a tough thing. I look at the amount of fear out there and sometimes I'm like, you know what, you need to toughen up a bit. You're willing to drive, 40,000 people a year die in car accidents, but you're not losing your mind over it. And some of it just does come down to just, I think it comes down to exactly this, being comfortable with knowing that maybe it might be uncomfortable. I think we have a societal problem maybe coming from schools, maybe coming from teachers, maybe coming from parents, where do you think it's coming from? Do you think it's worse now or is this just what everyone says when they get up enough wisdom to look back?

Jon:

I think the stats were like, oh, first of all, everybody's going to assume that the current generation is lazy and stupid.

Dave:

If you knew me back then, the current generation is way better off on average, just to be clear.

Jon:

Oh, yeah. They're much more accepting, they care. Right. I think that there's just a bit of that. My hunch is that there's a few problems. One is that we've low jacked our kids. It used to be that you could have a child at the age of six, go and buy milk a block away. Now parents will be arrested if somebody finds out that they'd let their six-year-old walk more than five feet away from them. So it's crazy. I think that that gets exacerbated because of a behavioral cue known as the saliency effect, which is that when something's easily accessible by memory, we think it happens more often than it does. The problem we talked about serial killer shows earlier, the problem with that is that there just aren't enough serial killers.

Dave:

There are almost no serial killers. I'm worried of lightening than serial killers in my daily life. And neither of them reaches the point of I'm worried and my hair is standing on end and I'm in a field and then there's lightning.

Jon:

But here's the funny thing at my dinners. Everybody arrives, there are all these interesting folk. And at about 25 minutes in, I say, "Okay, everybody, we're about to start cooking. This is the perfect time to message your spouses, your significant others, your friends, and let them know that you still have both of your kidneys in your life." Because literally, that's what they're worried about. They're worried that somehow the invitation that they were sent with an address at somebody's home is somehow going to be unsafe. As if that's really going to be the risk in the scenario. I've been done the long con, spent 12 years building a community of over 2,000 members from Nobel laureates and Olympians to business leaders and members of royalty. And then what I'm going to do is I'm going to steal somebody's kidney.

Dave:

Royal kidneys and Nobel Laureate kidneys are higher value. So I admired your strategy.

Jon:

I would say that I agree with half of that, most Nobel laureates are in their eighties and I don't think anybody wants their kidneys.

Dave:

That's a fair point. And we won't make any comments about substance use amongst royals because they're perfect.

Jon:

Of course.

Dave:

You had a chapter in your book that I thought would be helpful for a lot of people listening, especially if you're earlier in your career or you've made a transition. You talk about not just building trust, which we all want to do, but it's the science of building trust quickly. It's the fourth chapter in the book. You have some really good stories in there. Can you tell me about Gino the salesman?

Jon:

Oh, yeah. Gino the salesman, his last name is Leo Cardi. He has the weirdest job in the world. Since the 1970s, he's convinced mostly housewives to open the door to their homes and he's carrying a bag of knives, and that's insane. I think it's like 1970, a woman is alone at home, and a guy with a bag of knives shows up and says, "I want to talk to you." Gino is a professional cutlery salesman. And he used to go door to door selling these knives, and it's called Cutco, you might know it.

Dave:

Oh, yeah. Cutco knives are great.

Jon:

They're phenomenal. But Gino and his colleagues eventually realized that it's really hard to build trust when you're just randomly knocking on people's doors. And they said, "Maybe we could figure out a way to get people to trust us ahead of time." So he realized that if he could get, let's say Susie to recommend a bunch of her friends, then maybe by name dropping them when he called, then they'd trust him more. So he calls up Margaret and he'll say, "Oh, Hey Margaret, do you have a minute? I was just sitting down with Susie and she said you might be able to help me with something."

Jon:

Now, if he was paying really careful attention, he'd also remember that Susie's nephew and nieces names and maybe her grandchildren or several other friends, maybe he met Susie through another person. With each additional piece of data like that, it elevates the amount of trust. The reason is that human beings experience something known as the halo effect. The halo effect is that if we trust, let's say you, Dave, and you say, "Oh, do you know who you're going to love, Steve." Then suddenly, the

associative property will say, okay, I really trust Dave. Dave suggests I get to know Steve. So I'll trust Steve ahead of time.

Jon:

So as a by-product, Gino has sold millions of dollars worth of kitchen products going from family to family in what should be completely insane and nowadays impossible, which is to get somebody to open their door when you're carrying a bag of knives. Here's what's interesting though, the halo effect affects all of us. If you've ever gone to see a movie or eating at a restaurant from a friend's recommendation, that's the halo effect. If you've ever tried to brand extension, so Gatorade releases a new flavor or something like that, then you've been affected by the halo effect. There's no reason that that brand extension is necessarily good. It's just you generally trust the brand and so you're willing to try it. That's one really odd quirk in other. Did we ever discuss the story of the greatest art heist in history?

Dave:

No, no. That's in the book where we haven't got to it yet.

Jon:

Okay. Do you want me to share that or do you want to [crosstalk 00:53:28]?

Dave:

Yeah. Please do.

Jon:

All right. So while researching the book, I came across this completely insane story. It's similar to the halo effect and that it's the shortcut to what will get us to trust or like something. In 1911, a workman walked into the Louvre on a Monday, Louvre's closed on Mondays for photographs and cleaning, and he walks into the Renaissance section, randomly finds the smallest painting he can find and rips it off the wall. Takes his workman's mock, wraps it around the painting, walks out. The next day, eventually somebody notices it's missing. 60 detective search the entire Louvre. It's a thousand rooms. They only had 11 guards on duty, and most of them were probably drunk at the time.

Dave:

Wow.

Jon:

The painting goes viral. A lot of it because it was right before World War I and countries wanted to embarrass the French government for being incompetent for managing the Louvre. Stories around the world spread pictures of the painting. The story becomes so sensational that people stand in line just to see the empty spot where the painting used to be. It's like a spectacle.

Dave:

It's like a Banksy thing about 100 years ago, right?

Jon:

Exactly, a reverse Banksy. So those nails are probably worth a fortune, that it used to hang on. So three years later, the guy tries to hawk the painting. He goes to Italy, goes to get it evaluated, and they call the police and he gets arrested. The painting does a tour of Italy. Eventually gets brought back to the Louvre. Once again, stories around the world about the return of this painting. Now, the painting sits behind bulletproof glass and is considered the greatest painting in the world. It is the Mona Lisa. Now, this is completely insane. If you ask most people what's the greatest painting of all time, they say the Mona Lisa. It was painted, I think in 1506, 1508 or something like that. It wasn't until the 1800s that even got a single reference as a good example of Renaissance art.

Dave:

That's influence.

Jon:

Yeah. Nobody cared about this painting until it was stolen. Then because all of the people who read newspapers saw pictures of it, because of all the wanted posters showed pictures of it, we were exposed to it more often. As a by-product, we trusted it or we liked it. So this mere exposure effect affects us so much. It's completely insane. Me and my research partner, Dr. Moran Cerf, is this wild neuroscientist, he did the largest study, I think it's the largest study in history on dating. We looked at 421 million potential matches. What we found is really weird things.

Dave:

Still no dates, Jon?

Jon:

I'm married. Yes, very much. No more date. What we found though is weird. We found that if you have the same initials, you're 11.3% more likely to date.

Dave:

Unbelievable. Wow. Completely invisible.

Jon:

Yeah. And the reason is that it's this mere exposure effect to the extreme. It's called implicit egotism. Anything that reminds us of ourselves because the most familiar thing is us, we like more. People tend to like things that remind them of themselves. You'll notice couples tend to look similar or friend groups have similar ideas, and that's generally how we tend to connect. But it also means that if you can draw lines between things that you have as similarities, you'll be able to connect with people much faster. I know you have kids. Great, now we can talk about kids. If I know that you're an entrepreneur, we can talk about that. If I know there's a movie you love, or a hobby that you have that we share in common, we're far more likely to connect. This is called multiplex relationships. Essentially the idea that the more lines of contact that we have with each other or similarity, the more likely we are to find common ground and become friends. Otherwise, what you're going to need to deal with is something called the Allen Curve, which is just really weird.

Dave:

What's the Allen Curve? You brought it up, you have to define it.

I have peaked your interest. The Allen Curve is that, it's research from MIT that found that the closer our desks are, our communication grows exponentially across all platforms. So if our desks are next to each other, we'll talk a ton. If they're 20 feet, we'll talk significantly less and by about 50 feet or so, we might as well be on different planets. That's one of the problems of the pandemic, is that unless we have calls with people constantly, we forget they exist. So we don't talk to them. It's like that mere exposure effect or the saliency effect.

Dave:

How does all this apply to family?

Jon:

Ooh, interesting. I think families are really complex. But I think first of all, we can have influence on each other in a really meaningful way because we opt in. So I come to you for advice. You might ask me a question. Family is a little bit more tough because they put up with us. Like my dad doesn't want to have advice from me, he's going to listen and then not do anything I say anyway. So rather than me trying to influence my dad by telling him to do something, I'd go back to that study about obesity. How our social ties have such an impact on each other, that it affects our happiness and exercise and all that. I would try to help him make friends with people who have values that are really important. So if I feel like he's gaining too much weight, I'm going to probably try and find some very active friends for him to spend more time with and then really foster those relationships so that they can impact him because he's never going to listen to me anyway.

Dave:

It's built-in, parents will never understand if you're the kid and they'll never listen to you if you're the adult. So I think that's built into our cell biology somewhere. Haven't figured out where yet, but it must be because it's always true.

Jon:

The rebellious gene. Yeah.

Dave:

Exactly. Probably a book coming out by that name by someone or another. How about taking some questions from the Upgrade Collective and from Clubhouse?

Jon:

Oh, I would love that.

Dave:

All right. Let's go to the Upgrade Collective first. Susan, you had a question.

Susan:

Hello, thank you very much for this time together. Really appreciate it. So my question is, how does the Allen Curve work with virtual?

That's a really great question and I've been struggling with how to make up for it. The fact is it works terribly in the sense that all those things that we gain from being in an office, like seeing our co-workers occasionally, bumping into people, having water cooler breaks, all that pro-social behavior was really healthy for us. So if we're completely virtual, we need to be a lot more intentional about remembering one another. There's a few things that I came up with for companies, that were ridiculous. For small companies, I suggested that they get bobbleheads of each other and they sit on their desks so they always remember everybody on the team. At larger companies, I thought maybe team photos or something, I thought that could be people's backgrounds so that there's this awareness of everybody and all the people that they need to be in touch with. Oh, I totally forgot. I have to call so-and-so today, but because they saw their background, they can accomplish that.

Jon:

The other thing that might be really helpful is doing random breakout rooms when you're doing town halls. So when you have everybody together, split teams randomly into groups of eight, 10, 12, and let people from different divisions connect with each other. Because at companies, when the big problems, especially large companies, is that accounting has a view of legal and legal has a view of marketing. Unless you can draw some connections and ties with each other, they see each other as very one dimensional. But when you know Jamal, who's head of marketing and he is got three kids and loves the Harry Potter series, suddenly he's really human. He's not just somebody in marketing causing an issue or something like that. So you have to be significantly more intentional when things are digital and actually design around them.

Dave:

That's a really cool piece of advice. Thank you, Jon. Let's take a question from Maxie on Clubhouse. I'm just inviting her up. Maxie is a documentary filmmaker on Ayahuasca. So this could be a super weird question. I'm hoping it is. Welcome, Maxie.

Maxie:

Actually, it is so conventional, it is so conventional. I actually have found, I must say in this year of the pandemic, I have found that the telephone and Zoom has created greater intimacy, but it's all work-related or really intense. It's all in collaboration. So it's very intentional. This is such a conventional question. I'm almost embarrassed to ask, but my world has become, certainly my social life, I'm single, has become much more non-existent. My romantic life, not my social life.

Maxie:

I wonder how people connect. I wonder if you have... I always think that I'm in such an exciting world. For example, the world [inaudible 01:04:14] really interesting people, and I don't like that idea of dating online, which I did for three months and then quit after having a stalker and some horrible experiences. It just didn't seem like a way to create intimacy. This is such a conventional question. Do you have any other ideas about that? Because I have none, about how people get together because you don't want to... I have to say, first of all, dating on Zoom might be okay if you're 25 or 30 years old, but it doesn't really appeal to me.

Jon:

I got it. So Dave, maybe I should repeat the question just because the connection wasn't...

Dave:
Yeah, very helpful.
really very helpful.
Jon: So I believe the question is, how do we create intimacy and develop a dating relationship over virtual technologies when being around each other physically isn't an option? I think that this is a really frankly, a complicated issue. You pointed to, and I'm sorry, what was
Dave:
Maxie.
Jon:
Maxie. Maxie pointed to something really interesting, which was in most cases, people feel less connected as a by-product of being on Zoom. There are a small collection of people who actually use it as a way to create greater intimacy. Because you suddenly have literally a camera into people's lives and they use the opportunity of a child interrupting to create a vulnerability loop. They use the fact that you can see into somebody's kitchen to make jokes about, Oh, they need a renovation or something.
Jon:
Suddenly you get a larger picture of somebody's life and more depth of personality. So you can highlight things that you would never be able to highlight if you were just in a suit, walking into a meeting and talking. So there is the opportunity, if you're willing to embrace it, to create more vulnerability loops. There's actually a very strange thing that's associated to dating and also interviewing. It's made famous by rom-coms and it's called the pratfall effect and it works like this. Have you ever noticed that Hugh Grant is falling all over himself in every movie, he's like a doofus? Well, it turns out that if we screw up a little in front of people, they like us more. Researchers have people come into job interviews and spit a little bit of coffee on themselves, and found that those people were rated higher because it's these vulnerability loops and really humanizing experiences.
Dave:
You can't say that. Number one, you're wasting coffee. Number two, there's going to be a huge wave of people where every time they get an in-person interview, they spill coffee on themselves. There's going to be a huge amount of laundry detergent that gets wasted because of that advice. There'll be no one who gets a job without coffee stains, because you did the job.
Jon:
I'm willing to risk it. I do want to point out if more people are spilling coffee, more people are buying coffee.
Dave:
In that case. I love your [inaudible 01:07:31].
Jon:
I'm just saying.

_	
$D_{\alpha \nu \alpha}$	٠
11470	
Duve	•

It's awesome. I did not know about that.

Jon:

That's called the pratfall effect. Now back to your question on dating, I'll be honest, I've done research on mobile dating. But let's be honest, mobile dating isn't really dating, it's mobile discovery. The issue then becomes if we can't be in the same place at the same time, what can we do that's novel or different that doesn't feel like a job interview? Because a date activity takes the pressure off. You go roller skating. You go to an art museum, you're doing an escape room or something like that. My recommendation is to take the pressure off, then go ahead and find an activity that you can convert the digital meeting into a digital experience that you actually enjoy. Let me emphasize this. It should probably be something you actually enjoy because if you don't enjoy it, and they're a terrible date, then the whole thing is just going to be awful.

Jon:

The other thing I'd encourage is, Dave, you're going to like this hack, it's called the misattribution of arousal. The famous experiment is two groups of men were asked to cross bridges. Group A crossed like a standard solid bridge, got to the far end and there was an attractive woman who said, "Hey, thank you for participating. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me." Group B crossed a high ropes bridge. Their hearts were pumping. They were excited. When they got to the far end, same woman, attractive, said, "Hey, if you have any questions, please feel free to reach out to me." A disproportionate number of the people who were on the high ropes bridge asked her out.

Jon:

Now, why is this important? It means that we confuse our physical state of excitement for the person we are with. So if we want people to be more excited about going on a date with us or more excited by the end of it, because we really like it, we should probably do something that's a bit more exciting that elevates heart rate, because we are going to confuse or misattribute the feelings that we have for the experience with a person. That's natural. So if it's natural, we might as well do it to our advantage.

Dave:

If I had known that when I was a teenager, I would have gotten a job on a high ropes course. You would have completely changed my life.

Jon:

What?

Dave:

Okay. You can probably use that to go on a date to, but okay. If you're young and you're looking for the best job on earth, there you go.

Jon:

I love that you... I went with like, "Oh wow, I should do more activities." You're like, "I should be the source of the activity so everybody likes me."

Dave:

Exactly. And of course, when you're a teenager, dating more is not a bad thing. So there you go, you just get more dates that way. Of course, that would require that the higher-ups course was open, but Oh, wait, it's outdoors with winds. So it ought to be. Let's do one more question from the Upgrade Collective, my group. If you're listening to this, the Upgrade Collective, go to our Upgrade Collective. This is my private membership and mentorship group where I teach you everything I know in a community that I am helping to build using Jon's principles from his awesome new book. Let's see here, let's go to... Actually, let's go to Joe's question. I was going to [inaudible 01:10:59]. Joe has a great question. Joe, you ready to ask? He's saying, what company are you familiar with and employs the most of your strategies for their employees [inaudible 01:11:09]? So is there one company that just rocks at this?

Jon:

Okay. So the company that I think is actually best at this is probably Disney.

Dave:

Oh really?

Jon:

They in fact have an entire research group called the Disney Institute. I will give you an example that is half, I'm not sure if it's rumor or true, but I think it's true to some degree of how much design they put into people enjoying themselves. It's complete insanity. So Walt Disney World right down in Florida. If you drive in, park your car, take the escalator up to the ticket booth, you buy your ticket and you ride a 23-minute monorail or boat ride to get to the front gate of the magic kingdom, and then you can enter. Now here's what's crazy. Disney is very clear on what their objectives are. They want to be the happiest place on earth and a wildly successful business. They're unabashed about that. So the question is, why would they make you wait 23 minutes to enter the front gate? I got this wrong when I guessed.

Dave:

It's got to be to raise anticipation for when you get in?

Jon:

That's what I thought.

Dave:

Yeah.

Jon:

But then the person pointed out, told me about this, but if you've already been driving and you've been through the parking lot, you've known for months that you were going, anticipation was already super high. It turns out that according to the stats, the average American probably earns, I don't know, somewhere around 44K post-tax. This was before the pandemic. I don't know what it is now. A five day pass at Disney, I think it's like \$1,200, which means that's a pretty significant chunk of the family's income. That's mortgage and car payment and medical, so \$1,200. If I'm mom or dad or uncle or whatever, paying that bill, suddenly I have an extreme feeling of buyer's remorse. I knew about it. I know I wanted to be there, but that's still a natural feeling when you pass over that money. According to

the researchers I spoke to, the length of time for buyers remorse for that purchase is just about 23 minutes. So that when you enter the magic kingdom, you are ready to be happy and you are ready to spend again.

Dave:

They're doing you dirty. That means that you're not going to walk away after you invest 23 minutes to wait in line to spend 1200 bucks?

Jon:

I think I actually think it's great. I think it's great for two reasons. One is, let's say you earn a bunch more money, then there's a good chance, first of all, you're staying on premises at one of the resorts and you can just enter. The second is that even if you're not affected by this, you don't want to be around a bunch of grumpy parents. It changes the feeling of the park. If you've just spent all that money, you want your memories to be positive. So they want to make sure that you are emotionally capable of having really peak experiences. If you're grumpy, that's going to take it away and it's going to make your kids' experience worse. So I can see how some people interpret it as, why would they do that to us?

Jon:

I actually think that it's one of these scenarios that really benefits them financially and also benefits people's experiences. I think it's like a win-win. Now, what's interesting about this is that they put just as much design thinking into giving the surfers a feeling of belonging at the company. When I was at Epcot for an event, somebody asked the waiter, how many years have you worked there? Then I think he said it was like 27 and I can't wait to hit 30.

Dave:

Wow.

Jon:

Now that's a service industry job. To give somebody a feeling of belonging in a job where much of the time people are ignored and underappreciated is incredible. I think they do a phenomenal job of that. Now, you might have a lot of complaints about the company, I respect that.

Dave:

No, no, no. I am not going to complain about the company. You just can't go because you have a beard. That's all I'm saying.

Jon:

I can't work there because yes, they don't let cast members with the all funky, like me, with beards and sideburns and stuff like that.

Dave:

It's a very funny company, but they definitely have dialed in their culture. It is what it is.

Jon:

Yeah.

Dave:

Well, Jon, thank you for a fantastic interview. If you're listening on Clubhouse, definitely make sure you're following Jon. His book is called You're Invited. His website, Jon Levy, L-E-V-Y-T-L-B. What does TLB stand for anyway?

Jon:

Oh, I spent a lot of my life adventuring. So it's inspired by Peter Pan and The Lost Boys going and exploring. So it's The Lost Boys. The issue is that my name is the most common name in New York. I literally get mail for other Jon Levys constantly. So I had to find some differentiator. So it's about living a life of wonder and adventure like The Lost Boys.

Dave:

I love it. That is exactly playful and curious and about as good as it can get. Jon, I look forward to seeing you in person at another Influencer dinner when we have decided that it's actually important to connect with other people. That's coming soon because I think people are getting ready for that. Have a wonderful evening. And Clubhouse, thank you guys. Make sure you follow Jon, follow me. Tell people this is a cool club to be a member of and all the other cool Clubhouse stuff you do. Upgrade Collective, thank you for being part of the live audience. I think the podcast have improved seeing you guys here and watching on video and seeing your questions. So I feel like I'm a better interviewer when you're here with me, the power of connection yet again. I will see you all on the next one.